

An Encoding Scheme with Constituent Codes Optimization for Polar Code-Aim to Reduce the Decoding Latency

Tiben Che and Gwan Choi

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77840
Email: {ctb47321, gchoi}@tamu.edu

Abstract—This paper proposes a polar code encoding scheme that reduces constituent-code supplemented decoding latency. Constituent codes are those subset of the codeword with specific patterns. They are used to accelerate the successive cancellation decoding process of polar code without any performance degradation. We modify the traditional encoding approach to yield increased number of desired constituent codes that speeds the decoding process. For (n, k) polar code, instead of directly setting the k best and $(n - k)$ worst bits to the information bits and frozen bits, respectively, we thoughtfully swap the locations of some information and frozen bits according to the quality of their equivalent channels. The algorithm of constituent codes division optimization is presented. We conducted the simulation of $1k$ and $2k$ length polar codes with multiple rates and analyzed the decoding latency for various length codes. The numerical results shows that the proposed encoding scheme generally is able to achieve at least around 20% latency deduction with an negligible gain loss with carefully selected optimization threshold. Discussions are also presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, polar codes [1] attract more and more research interests due to that it is the first code which provably achieves the channel and its low coding complexity. Such property makes it very promising for real scenario such as wireless communication and storage. Successive cancellation (SC) [1], list successive cancellation (LSC) [2] and belief propagation (BP) [3] are the three most popular decoding algorithms. Among those, SC and LSC receive more attention due to their simpler hardware complexity compared with that of BP. Due to its naturally serial property, SC decoder suffers from the high decoding latency. LSC as an extension of SC, similarly, it has the same problem. The relationship between SC and LSC allows the improvement of SC decoder to benefit the LSC decoder as well. Thus, a lot of efforts has been spent on the SC decoder to reduce the latency from both hardware and algorithm aspects.

C. Leroux [4] proposed both tree and line architecture of SC decoder. For length n polar code, it takes $(2n - 2)$ clock cycles to decode. Later on, he [5] proposed a semi-parallel architecture for both tree and line SC decoder, which makes a trade-off between hardware complexity and latency. C. Zhang [6] proposed a low latency SC decoder with pre-computing and overlapped architecture. Pre-computing technology reduces the latency to $(n - 1)$, and the overlap scheme significantly the throughput with multiple frame situation. B. Yuan [7] proposed an architecture with applying the 2-bit decoding at the last stage and some gate level optimizations, this further reduce the latency to $(3N/4 - 1)$. Alamdar-Yazdi [8]

proposed the simplified SC (SSC) which can significantly reduce the latency via some certain pattern sub-codewords. These kinds of sub-codewords are also called constituent codes. This is the first time the concept of constituent codes has been mentioned. Inspired by this, Sarkis [9] proposed the fast-SSC which can further reduce the latency by exploring more kinds of constituent codes. T. Che [10] proposed the hardware architecture of constituent codes polar codes decode. It allows the decoding processes are compatible with both conventional and constituent polar codes. P. Giard [11] proposed an unrolled architecture with fast-SSC is able to achieve 237 Gbps throughput. Additionally, the idea of constituent codes also benefit other decoding algorithm. J. Xu [12] applied the constituent concept to the BP decoding which significantly reduce the computing complexity. G. Sarkis [13] proposed an constituent codes based LSC decoding. T. Che [14] also pointed that the constituent codes can benefit the overlapped LSC architecture in term of hardware efficiency.

Constituent code is an approach to reduce decoding latency. All the aforementioned works stress on the decoding sides. However, in this paper, we explore the potential of constituent codes from an opposite angle. We stress on the encoding scheme to make the codeword are more constituent-code-friendly. By adjusting the traditional encoding approach, more expected types of constituent codes are made for decoding. For (n, k) polar code, instead of directly setting the k best and $(n - k)$ worst bits to the information bits and frozen bits, respectively, we thoughtfully swap the locations of some information and frozen bits according to the quality of their equivalent channels. The corresponding algorithm to optimize the constituent codes division is also described. We conducted the simulation of $1k$ and $2k$ length polar codes with multiple rates and analyzed the decoding latency for various length codes. The numerical results shows that the proposed encoding scheme generally is able to achieve at least around 20% latency deduction with negligible perform loss with carefully selected optimization threshold. Some relevant discussions are also presented.

This paper is organized as follows. The relative background is reviewed in section II. In following, the proposed encoding scheme are described in section III. After that, the numerical results and relevant discussions are presented in section IV. Finally, this paper is concluded in section V.




Fig. 1. (a) Encoder of (8, 4) polar code, (b) Tree presentation of (8, 4) SC decoder

II. BACKGROUND

A. Polar code

As described by E. Arikan [1], polar code is constructed by successively performing channel polarization. Fig. 1a shows an example of the construction of 8-bit polar code. Polar codes are linear block codes of length $N = 2^n$. The coded codeword $\mathbf{x} \triangleq (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ is computed by $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{G}$ where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}^{\otimes m}$, and $\mathbf{F}^{\otimes m}$ is the m -th Kronecker power of $\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Each row of $\mathbf{G}$ is corresponding to an equivalent polarizing channel. For an (n, k) polar code, k bits that carry source information are called information bits. They are transmitted via the k best channels. While the rest $n - k$ bits, called frozen bits, are set to zeros and are placed at the $n - k$ worst channels.

Polar codes can be decoded by recursively applying successive cancellation to estimate $\hat{u}_i$ using the channel output y_0^{N-1} and the previously estimated bits $\hat{u}_0^{i-1}$. This method is referred as successive cancellation (SC) decoding. Actually, SC decoding can be regarded as a binary tree traversal as described in Fig. 1b. The number of bits of one node in stage m ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) N^m is equal to 2^m . α stands for the soft reliability value, typically is log-likelihood ratio (LLR). Each left and right child nodes can calculate the LLR for current node via f and g functions, respectively [5]. However, in order to solve g function, a feedback β_i from left child of the same parent node is needed. This kind of feedback is called partial sum. Actually, this serial property of feedback operation limits the throughput of SC decoding.

B. Constituent codes based SC decoding

The recursive processing of getting the partial sum from each node significantly constrains the decoding speed. Thus, in order to obtain partial sum directly without performing tree traversal, constituent based SC decoding has been proposed [8], [9]. Some certain patterns in the codewords allows us to decode them and get their corresponding partial sums immediately, which significantly reduces the partial-sum-constrained latency.

$\mathcal{N}^0$, $\mathcal{N}^1$, $\mathcal{N}^{SPC}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ are the four commonest constituent codes. $\mathcal{N}^0$ and $\mathcal{N}^1$ only contain either frozen bits or information bits, respectively. $\mathcal{N}^{SPC}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ contain both frozen bits and information bits. In the $\mathcal{N}^{SPC}$ codes, only the first bit is frozen. It makes the length N constituent codes as a rate $(N - 1)/N$ single parity check (SPC) code. In the $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ codes, only the last bit is information bit. In this




Fig. 2. SC decoding tree simplified by constituent codes

case, all the corresponding partial sums should be the same since they all are the reflection of the last information bit. All the above four constituent codes can be decoded very quickly. According to T. Che's implementation [10], the latency of length N constituent code can be reduced from $2N - 2$ to 1, 1 , $\log N + 1$ and $\log N$ for $\mathcal{N}^0$, $\mathcal{N}^1$, $\mathcal{N}^{SPC}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ codes, respectively. Fig. 2 shows an example of how constituent code can simplify the SC decoding tree.

III. PROPOSED ENCODING SCHEME

As described before, constituent codes are decoded faster than conventional polar codes. Thus, in order to reduce the decoding latency, we expect more constituents codes, especially constituent codes with large length. According to the definition of constituent codes, the initial distribution of constituent codes is determined by the location of information and frozen bits. If we can change the locations, we can manually produce expected constituent code. However, the location of frozen and information bits are very dedicate, random changes may cause negative influence on the coding performance. Thus, an thoughtful encoding scheme to produce more expected constituent code is on demand. The division of information and frozen bits is decided by the qualities of the equivalent channels which are corresponding to each bit. This give us a hint that if we swap some information and frozen bits those with similar channel quality, this might only incur a very slight performance loss. The numerical simulation results in the following section prove this idea. For binary erasure channel (BEC) with erasure rate ϵ , the erasure rate for each equivalent channel can be calculated recursively as referred to [1]. We can use them as the merits when we measure the similarity between two equivalent channels.

Now, we have the idea about how to change the division of constituent coeds. Next, we need to consider what kind of changes are desired. For any length n polar code, it can be regarded as a combination of the following four types of subtrees.

- Type-I: All the bits are frozen bits. This is also $\mathcal{N}^0$ constituent code.
- Type-II: All the bits are frozen bits. This is also $\mathcal{N}^1$ constituent code.
- Type-III: Only one bit is information bit, the rest are frozen bits. This can be regarded as the combination of one $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ and multiple $\mathcal{N}^0$ constituent codes.
- Type-IV: Only one bit is frozen bit, the rest are information bits. This can be regarded as the combination of one $\mathcal{N}^{SPC}$ and multiple $\mathcal{N}^1$ constituent codes.

According to T. Che's results [10], there is only one clock cycle needed for decoding $\mathcal{N}^0$ and $\mathcal{N}^1$ node. Thus, it is




Fig. 3. Examples of constituent codes division optimization

unnecessary to optimize type-I and type-II codes since they are already fully optimized. Our target should be focused on the type-III and type-IV. These two types are similar, they all only have one node with different type with others. There are two ways to deal with the different one. We can move the different one to the beginning or ending to make it a $\mathcal{N}^{SPC}$ or $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ nodes for type-IV or type-III nodes, respectively. Or, we can swap the different one between the two types to make them become type-I and type-II nodes. For the first method, suppose we have one Type-III node at stage $n + 1$. It consists of one $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ and one $\mathcal{N}^0$ node at stage n . This is shown in Fig 3a. Totally, it needs $1 + \log_2 n = n + 1$ to finish decoding. If we move the place of the information bit to make it a $\mathcal{N}^{REP}$ constituent code, the new latency for decoding should be $\log_2 n + 1 = n + 1$. There is no change if we do this modification. This is also similar to type-IV situation. For the second method, suppose we have one type-III and one type-IV nodes at stage n as shown in Fig. 3b, the totally latency for decoding should be $2n + 1$. If we swap the information bit in type-III and frozen bit in type-IV, we get one $\mathcal{N}^0$ and one $\mathcal{N}^1$ nodes. The total should be reduced to 2. This makes a huge difference. Thus, our target should be the swap operation between type-III and type-IV nodes.

Based on above discussion, the constituent code division optimization algorithm is proposed as right. After we get the encoding position using the traditional way, we apply this algorithm to adjust the location of some information and frozen bits to make more desired constituent code. This is an enhancement to the traditional encoding method.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fig. 4 shows the simulation results of proposed encoding scheme. It is the ber vs Eb/No performance for 1024 and 2048 length at different rate with multiple optimization threshold. Table I shows the decoding latency for each threshold and the comparison with constituent codes decoding without any optimization and other state-of-the-art decoders. We even calculated the latencies for the codes with very long length such as 16384.

According to Fig. 4 and Table I, we note that the proposed encoding scheme generally is able to achieve at least around 20% latency reduction with a negligible gain loss. For a certain code length, we can find that the acceptable threshold is increasing along with the code size. This is due to the nature of channel polarization. There are more frozen and information bits mixed in the front and middle part of codeword for lower rate codes, which gives more flexibility when doing optimization. However, this does not indicate that this coding scheme is not working well on high rate. The interesting part is that the

Algorithm 1 Constituent Code Division Optimization

Input: The set of equivalent channels erasure rate, ϵ_n ; the location index of original information and frozen bits, L ; the threshold for bit swapping, th .

Initialize: $index = 1$.

- 1: get the nodes-type look up table T from L ; this table gives the node type information and its index
- 2: **if** $T[index]$ is type-III nodes **then**
- 3: get the index i of information bit in $T[i]$, search the all next type-IV nodes and find the one whose frozen bit's equivalent channel quality is the closest to $\epsilon_n[i]$. Recode the index f of that.
- 4: **if** $|\epsilon_n[i] - \epsilon_n[f]| < th$ **then**
- 5: swap the property of $L[i]$ and $L[j]$, update T .
- 6: **end if**
- 7: **end if**
- 8: **if** $T[index]$ is type-IV nodes **then**
- 9: get the index f of frozen bit in $T[i]$, search the all next type-III nodes and find the one whose information bit's equivalent channel quality is the closest to $\epsilon_n[f]$. Recode the index i of that.
- 10: **if** $|\epsilon_n[i] - \epsilon_n[f]| < th$ **then**
- 11: swap the property of $L[i]$ and $L[j]$, update T .
- 12: **end if**
- 13: **end if**
- 14: increase $index$ by 1, repeat to 2, until to the end of T

performance on high rate is as good as the low rate according to Fig. 4. It's possibly attributable to the following two causes. This first is that the coding performance of high rate itself is much worse than that of low rate. This causes the difference after optimization is not so obvious. The second one is that the simulation we run is still not long enough. This is per coding theory that suggests the longer polar code will generally yield higher polarization. For a certain code rate, we can find the acceptable threshold is decreasing along with the code length. Since the longer codes are more polarized, the difference of each equivalent channel is becoming smaller and smaller as the length increases. This works fine with low and medium length but not so obvious with high length. This also can be explained by the two reasons presented before.

We compared the latency of proposed design with the decoder in [7] which is the fastest non-constituent-codes-based polar code decoder to the best of our knowledge. We can see even constituent codes decoder without any optimization is much faster than that. Our proposed encoding scheme is capable of achieving 20% or more latency. This is very important especially for very long code length.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes a novel encoding scheme which aims to reduce the decoding latency. The proposed constituent codes division optimization algorithm can manually produce more types of constituent codes which are desired by us. The simulation results show that the proposed encoding scheme generally is able to achieve at least around 20% latency deduction with negligible decoding performance loss. Besides, compared with non-constituent-codes-based decoder, the constituent codes based decoder has a very huge advantage in terms of latency. Our encoding scheme is able to further enhance their timing performance.




Fig. 4. The ber vs Eb/No performance for proposed encoding scheme

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arıkan, "Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051–3073, 2009.
- [2] I. Tal and A. Vardy, "List decoding of polar codes," in *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2011 IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 1–5.
- [3] N. Hussami, S. B. Korada, and R. Urbanke, "Performance of polar codes for channel and source coding," in *Information Theory, 2009. ISIT 2009. IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 1488–1492.
- [4] C. Leroux, I. Tal, A. Vardy, and W. J. Gross, "Hardware architectures for successive cancellation decoding of polar codes," in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 1665–1668.
- [5] C. Leroux, A. J. Raymond, G. Sarkis, and W. J. Gross, "A semi-parallel

TABLE I. LATENCY REDUCTION

decoder	length	rate	threshold	latency	reduction(%)
proposed	1024	0.3	no optimization	303	-
			1e-13	288	4.9
			1e-12	260	14
			1e-11	234	22.7
		0.5	no optimization	266	-
			1e-4	255	4.1
			5e-4	218	18
			1e-3	197	21.8
		0.7	no optimization	172	-
			0.1	165	4
			0.2	137	20
			0.4	126	23.6
	2048	0.3	no optimization	576	-
			1e-18	549	4.6
			1e-17	519	9.9
			1e-16	493	14.4
		0.5	no optimization	493	-
			1e-6	487	1.2
			1e-5	436	10.5
			1e-4	323	33.6
		0.7	no optimization	297	-
			0.1	269	9.4
			0.2	248	16.5
			0.3	228	23.2
	16384	0.3	no optimization	3992	-
			1e-50	3661	8.3
			1e-45	3242	18.8
			1e-40	2721	31.8
		0.5	no optimization	3327	-
			1e-13	3187	4.2
			1e-12	2898	12.9
			1e-11	2465	25.9
		0.7	no optimization	1350	-
			0.1	1260	6.6
			0.2	1165	13.7
			0.4	898	33.4
[7]	1024	-	-	767	-
	2048	-	-	1535	-
	16384	-	-	12287	-

successive-cancellation decoder for polar codes," *Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 289–299, 2013.

- [6] C. Zhang and K. Parhi, "Low-latency sequential and overlapped architectures for successive cancellation polar decoder," *Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 2429–2441, 2013.
- [7] B. Yuan and K. K. Parhi, "Low-latency successive-cancellation polar decoder architectures using 2-bit decoding," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1241–1254, April 2014.
- [8] A. Alamdar-Yazdi and F. R. Kschischang, "A simplified successive-cancellation decoder for polar codes," *IEEE communications letters*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 1378–1380, 2011.
- [9] G. Sarkis, P. Giard, A. Vardy, C. Thibault, and W. J. Gross, "Fast polar decoders: Algorithm and implementation," *Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 946–957, 2014.
- [10] T. Che, J. Xu, and G. Choi, "Tc: Throughput centric successive cancellation decoder hardware implementation for polar codes," in *2016 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 991–995.
- [11] P. Giard, G. Sarkis, C. Thibault, and W. J. Gross, "A 237 gbps unrolled hardware polar decoder," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6043*, 2014.
- [12] J. Xu, T. Che, and G. Choi, "Xj-bp: Express journey belief propagation decoding for polar codes," in *2015 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [13] G. Sarkis, P. Giard, A. Vardy, C. Thibault, and W. J. Gross, "Fast list decoders for polar codes," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 318–328, 2016.
- [14] T. Che, J. Xu, and G. Choi, "Overlapped list successive cancellation approach for hardware efficient polar code decoder," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.00577*, 2015.